

$$\sum_j^k F_j = 1 \quad (3)$$

wherein F, j and k are as defined above.

[0063] The refractivity of the purge gas for wavelength  $\lambda_1$  is given by:

$$\sum_j^k F_j \alpha_{j1} = \alpha_{m1} \quad (10)$$

[0064] For wavelength  $\lambda_2$  said refractivity is given by:

$$\sum_j^k F_j \alpha_{j2} = \alpha_{m2} \quad (11)$$

and for  $\lambda_3$

$$\sum_j^k F_j \alpha_{j3} = \alpha_{m3} \quad (12)$$

with the condition that

$$\sum_j^k F_j = 1 \quad (3)$$

[0065] Different purge gas compositions can be calculated by combining equations (10), (11) and (12) with the condition that equations (3), (4) and (5) must be fulfilled. For more information with regard to refractivity see, for example, Max Born & Emil Wolf, Principles of optics, electric theory of propagation interference and diffraction of light, sixth edition, Pergamon Press Oxford, incorporated herein by reference.

[0066] Suitable mixtures of gases include mixtures of two or more components having different refractivities. For example, the purge gas may comprise one or more components having a refractivity of less than  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  at a wavelength of from 200 to 700nm and one or more components having a refractivity of greater than  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  at a wavelength of from 200 to 700nm. Typically, the one or more components having a refractivity of less than  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  at a wavelength of from 200 to 700nm will be present in an amount of from 50 to 99% by volume and the one or more components having a refractivity of greater than  $3 \times 10^{-4}$

Table 2:

Example	N <sub>2</sub>	He	Ne	Ar	Kr	Xe
6	66.4	12.7			20.9	
7	60.7		15.9		23.4	
8		9.5		67.6	23.0	
9			12.1	63.1	24.8	
10	87.1	8.8				4.2
11	84.9		10.6			4.5
12		3.9		91.4		4.8
13			4.7	90.4		4.9

### Embodiment 3

[0061] In the third embodiment of the invention, which is the same as the first embodiment except as described below, a second harmonic interferometric device is used to adjust the measurements of the displacement interferometer to account for variations in temperature and pressure. The second harmonic interferometric device is described in Embodiment 2 above. In this third embodiment, the response of the overall interferometric system is adjusted to account for purge gas contamination. This is achieved by off-setting the errors caused by purge gas contamination in each of the two interferometric devices.

[0062] In this embodiment, at least two components make up the purge gas, and the following equation must be substantially fulfilled:

$$\frac{\alpha_{m1}}{(\alpha_{m3} - \alpha_{m2})} = K_a \quad (4)$$

wherein  $\alpha_{m1}$ ,  $\alpha_{m2}$  and  $\alpha_{m3}$  are as defined above; and

$$K_a = \frac{\alpha_{a1}}{(\alpha_{a3} - \alpha_{a2})} \quad (5)$$

wherein  $\alpha_{a1}$ ,  $\alpha_{a2}$  and  $\alpha_{a3}$  are as defined above. As for embodiments 1 and 2, the sum of the gaseous fractions must equal 1, thus